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Introduction

According to recent estimates, diabetes is one of the most common chronic metabolic diseases worldwide, as per the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), and it affects approximately 463 million adults, with a prediction to reach 700 million by 2045 (1). The inability of our body to react to the availability of sufficient insulin causes failure in signaling components, which results in the development of insulin resistance (2–5). In systems biology, modeling the complex behavior of signal transduction pathways is an interesting area of research. Experiments to classify the molecular components and interactions in a system of interest are supplemented with mathematical models. Control theory and mathematical modeling are increasingly being used to address complex biological questions concerning the aetiology of diabetes, as they are uniquely suited to gaining system-wide insights (6-9). It is debatable if type 2 diabetes (T2D) can be fully cured. However, for T2D patients, the most commonly prescribed therapies include a healthy lifestyle, decent food, exercise, and allopathic drugs such as metformin (10-23). The importance of exercise in the management of T2D is widely acknowledged. In order to detect the initiation and metabolism of a T2D patient, an in silico analysis of the effects of physical activity on the GLUT4 translocation is important. There are many statistical analyses available, but there are very few mathematical models and in silico studies in this area. Alternative therapies such as exercise and acupuncture are explored as advanced and common remedies for T2D in response to unsatisfactory drug use in a recent survey (24-43). The mathematical model developed by Sedaghat et al specifically represents the complexities of metabolic insulin signalling pathways (ISPs) and simulates the dynamics of various components involved in signal transduction mediating GLUT4 translocation in skeletal muscle (44). Joshi et al created an in silico dynamic model...
That quantifies the impact of exercise and metformin on GLUT4 translocation by extending the model developed by Sedaghat et al. The signaling pathways that show the effect of exercise and metformin were incorporated into the in silico model, and the results were satisfactory (21).

In the present work, the dynamic in silico model developed by Joshi et al (21) was utilized, and perturbations were introduced to analyze the impact on GLUT4 concentration via sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis method is used to assess the relationships between input parameters and model outcomes. Sensitivity analysis helps one to investigate the effects of changing system parameters from their normal state and to define the parameters that influence system behavior. The information gathered can be useful in gaining a better understanding of the context and proposing hypotheses regarding key processes in a system. Additionally, a background can be obtained for speculating about how we could interfere with the system to generate specific behaviors. Parameter sensitivity analysis is a method for determining how different parameters enhance the outcome. The output is said to be responsive to a parameter if it has a significant impact on the output in comparison to the other parameters. The most significant parameters in a model can be identified using sensitivity analysis. Many of the parameters in mathematical models of biological and other complex systems are not or cannot be precisely defined. Sensitivity analysis refers to a collection of techniques for evaluating the effect of parameter uncertainty on a model. Numerous researchers have used a variety of techniques to conduct sensitivity analysis on the model developed by Sedaghat et al (44). Drugs may be able to target key parameters to improve disorders.

Kwei et al carried out the sensitivity analysis on the Sedaghat model of ISPs with feedback to reduce the parameter estimation error. It was done by optimizing the input perturbation and state measurement choices. The results revealed a range of sensitive parameters appropriate for drug targeting (45). Based on the models developed by Sedaghat et al, Liu et al used dynamic sensitivity and control analyses to investigate the GLUT4 metabolic ISPs. They measured the time-dependent sensitivities of membrane GLUT4 concentrations in relation to all reaction parameters. The findings were in line with experimental findings and estimates of drug targets in the literature (46). Further, local parametric sensitivity analysis was carried out by Gray on the ISPs as per the Sedaghat model. The parametric sensitivity analysis disclosed a number of key aspects of the model. Across the spectrum of insulin concentrations, the sensitivity of several parameters changed considerably. The results were used to identify the major regulatory positions in the signaling network as well as network weaknesses. The parameter sensitivity analysis also identified areas where the model could be improved. Additionally, since experimental measurements in biological systems can be scarce, it is critical to create an experimental design that can extract as much information about model parameter values as possible from small and noisy data sets. Since the Sedaghat model lacks experimental validation, Kwei et al used parameter sensitivity analysis to construct experimental designs that are efficient for model identification, given constraints on measurement error and cost (45). Charzynska et al performed a sensitivity analysis on the membrane receptor system using a mathematical model developed by Shankaran et al. The use of a deterministic system was justified in any case because of the conclusions drawn from the findings. Furthermore, some of the parameters were found to have a minor effect on the results of the system (47,48). As can be seen from the literature, different methods have been used to conduct sensitivity analysis on the ISPs. However, sensitivity analysis of the in silico model that takes into account the impact of exercise and metformin on GLUT4 translocation in ISPs in T2D patients is still lacking. The present research work is the first attempt to perform global parametric sensitivity analysis using the Sobol method on the dynamic in silico model developed by Joshi et al (21), which quantifies the effect of exercise and metformin on GLUT4 translocation. Both in vivo and in vitro experiments are expensive and time-consuming. As a result, this analysis of the in silico model will aid in the cost-effective execution of a number of experiments without the use of actual cells. The findings of the global sensitivity analysis of the in silico model of the impact of exercise and metformin on ISPs could be used as a refinement tool in the discovery of combinatorial anti-diabetic drugs.

Exercise and Metformin Interaction With ISPs in T2D: Dynamic In Silico Model

The dynamic in silico model has already been published recently. To establish a connection for the reader, here is a brief explanation. For a detailed description, one may refer to the paper by Joshi et al (21). The signaling pathways are made up of a complex system with several inputs, outputs, and interactions. While a complete understanding of this complex structure is still a work in progress, the basic mechanisms that govern GLUT4 translocation are well understood. AMPK activation mechanisms are the main drivers of GLUT4 translocation. The effects of insulin, metformin, and physical activity on GLUT4 translocation are depicted in Figure 1 and can be simulated using Cell Designer software (49,50). The ISP is composed primarily of insulin, which binds to the insulin receptor and induces autophosphorylation and activation. Insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS1) is further phosphorylated as a result of this activation, forming a complex with phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K). Phosphatidylinositol triphosphate (PIP3) is generated by the IRS1-PI3K complex, which then interacts allosterically with phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1). Protein kinases AKT and PKC are phosphorylated by the PIP3-PDK1 complex, which then
cause glucose transporter (GLUT4) translocation to the cell membrane via an unknown mechanism to uptake glucose. A few other proteins influence the activity of this pathway (44,51). Another pathway is the activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) by exercise and metformin, which then catalyzes PKC phosphorylation and increases GLUT4 translocation to the plasma membrane (52-54). For the dynamic model, the value of PTP was changed from 1 to 1.5 to simulate T2D. Moreover, the exercise intensity was simulated on the basis of changes in cellular energy, which is represented by parameter $k_{stim}$ (a parameter to represent exercise intensity) in the model. The values and parameters can be referred to in detail in published papers (21,54).

Considering that the impact of exercise and metformin on the GLUT4 translocation was established quantitatively, a Sobol-based sensitivity analysis was carried out to analyze the impact of individual parameters and their interactions on the GLUT4 translocation in a person with T2D who performed exercise and used metformin. This is the first time such an analysis has been performed on a dynamic in silico model of T2D with a metformin dose of 500 mg and an exercise intensity of $k_{stim} = 1$.

**Sobol Sensitivity Analysis: Methodology**

Global sensitivity analysis is an effective approach that determines which parameters and their interactions are the most influential in the overall behavior of the model over the entire parameter space. Several global sensitivity analysis methods, such as multiparametric sensitivity analysis and Sobol's method, are available for the model. Zhang et al conducted a study summarizing the distinct features of each method (55). Among all the methods of global sensitivity analysis summarized, Sobol sensitivity analysis based on variance decomposition is currently one of the most powerful techniques (56-59).

In Sobol-based global sensitivity analysis, the variance of the output of the model is decomposed into fractions that are attributed to various inputs or their interactions. The ultimate aim of a Sobol sensitivity analysis is to figure out the variability that is observed in the performance of the model due to each input parameter or the interaction of various input parameters. The first-order Sobol index measures the effect of individual parameters on output variance. The total order Sobol index measures the effect of individual parameters and their interactions with other parameters on the output variance. Therefore, the entire...
parameter space is covered. The higher the value of the Sobol index, the more influential the respective model parameter. Although there are no established rules for selecting the significance level, 0.05 is commonly used for performing the analysis. The significance level was used to identify the relative importance of parameters. For the present research work, our recently published dynamic in silico model was used (44). Sobol-based global sensitivity analysis was carried out on the in silico dynamic model as shown in Figure 1. MATLAB was used as a tool for global sensitivity analysis to perform Sobol analysis on the dynamic in silico model. The steps to perform a Sobol sensitivity analysis were as follows:

1. Import an in silico model from Cell Designer to SimBiology in MATLAB
2. Export the model from SimBiology to a MATLAB workspace
3. Launch the global sensitivity analysis
4. Select the Sobol sensitivity analysis parameters
5. Select the total number of samples
6. Provide ranges for the selected parameters
7. Select the output parameter (GLUT4)
8. Perform computation
9. Examine the first and total order Sobol indices

The initial values of each parameter are taken from the literature (44) and our recently published paper (21). Considering that we had a greater number of parameters for the simulated dynamic in silico model, we carried out global sensitivity analysis on all the input parameters at first with a given range of ±10% perturbation, as shown in Figure 2. It is important to note that the details of all the parameters can be obtained through the model developed by Sedaghat and the model developed by Joshi et al (21).

The surface GLUT4 concentration was chosen as the output parameter. After analyzing the Sobol indices of all the parameters, those having a significance level of more than 0.05 were chosen for the second round of the Sobol sensitivity analysis with a varied range of perturbation for each parameter. The results obtained for each condition of the dynamic in silico model are discussed in the following section.

**Results and Discussion**

The application of a dynamic in silico model is the ultimate goal of the sensitivity analysis. Here, the Sobol-based sensitivity analysis was carried out to investigate the following aspects:

1. Impact on GLUT4 under normal conditions
2. Impact on GLUT4 under type 2 diabetic conditions
3. Impact on GLUT4 when an individual with T2D uses metformin
4. Impact on GLUT4 when a person with T2D takes 500 mg of metformin and engages in physical activity at an intensity of $k_{stim} = 1$

First, Sobol sensitivity analysis was carried out for all the parameters under normal conditions within the range of ±10%. For each parameter, we examined the first and total order Sobol indices. Those parameters with a Sobol index value greater than 0.05 were considered significant and were chosen for further Sobol sensitivity analysis in...
all of the cases mentioned above. The range of the selected significant parameters was determined by performing a multiparametric global sensitivity analysis on all of the parameters under normal conditions with the classifier (max (GLUT4 concentration) > 30%). We chose the range for each parameter that showed a larger impact on the GLUT4 concentration, and then we performed the Sobol sensitivity analysis for all the cases. The parameters that were chosen for the final Sobol sensitivity analysis with a significance value greater than 0.05 included $k_7$, $k_{-7}$, $k_8$, $k_{-8}$, $k_{9}$, $k_{9, stimulated}$, $k_9$, $k_{13}$, and $k_{13}$.

The variation in the Sobol indices was clearly observed in Figure 3 when we compared the values for various conditions. The values of the first and total order Sobol indices are noted in Table 1 under all the conditions.

It was observed that the Sobol index value for a certain
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**Table 1. Sobol Indices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>T2D</th>
<th>Metformin (500 mg)</th>
<th>Metformin (500 mg) + exercise (kstim = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_7$ (rate constant for insulin receptor substrate phosphorylation)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{-7}$ (rate constant for insulin receptor substrate dephosphorylation)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_8$ (rate constant for insulin receptor substrate 1 complex formation)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{-8}$ (rate constant for insulin receptor substrate 1 complex reversed)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{9, stimulated}$ (rate constant of PIP2 to PIP3 formation)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_9$ (rate constant of PIP3 to PIP2 formation)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{13}$ (rate constant for translocation of GLUT4 to the cell surface under basal condition)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{13}$ (rate constant for translocation of GLUT4)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parameter was higher when a person was type 2 diabetic in comparison to those under normal conditions. This shows that the impact of parameters on the variance in GLUT4 concentration is more pronounced when a person becomes type 2 diabetic. Surprisingly, after obtaining the Sobol indices for the cases with metformin alone and with metformin and exercise, a significant difference in GLUT4 concentration was observed. This shows that when a type 2 diabetic person uses metformin, the impact of parameters on the GLUT4 concentration changes in comparison to that under normal conditions. Additionally, when exercise is combined with metformin, a significant variation in the GLUT4 concentration is observed.

For all the cases, it was clearly observed that when perturbations are introduced to the sensitive parameters, the impact on the output is different in every condition. Therefore, this approach can be utilized to predict the effect of parameter variations on the T2D targets used in drug development and testing, which can bring about a revolution in the pharmaceutical industry.

**Conclusion**

Sensitivity analysis plays a major role in understanding the impact of variations in the output parameters caused by input perturbations. The Sobol sensitivity analysis concept was used in this study to understand the impact of alternative therapies such as physical exercise and metformin as an allopathic drug on the surface GLUT4 concentration for variations in the globally sensitive input parameters using MATLAB and Cell Designer. Variations in Sobol indices clearly demonstrated different levels of impact on output under various input conditions in both normal and T2D states. In the future, these results could be utilized in the pharmaceutical industry to identify the global target for metabolic disorders like T2D and perform in vivo and in vitro analyses.
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